

# Set Theory (Math 111)

## In Class Midterm on Rational Numbers

Fall 2004

Ali Nesin

January 18, 2005

1) Do not use symbols such as  $\Rightarrow, \forall$ . 2) Make full sentences. 3) Write legibly. 4) Use correct punctuation. 5) Explain your ideas clearly. 6) You may use all the elementary facts about the structure  $\langle \mathbb{Z}, +, \times, \leq, 0, 1 \rangle$  without proof.

Let  $X = \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})$ . On  $X$  we define the binary relation:

$$(x, y) \equiv (z, t) \iff xt = yz.$$

1. Show that  $\equiv$  is an equivalence relation on  $X$ .

For  $(x, y) \in X$ , we let  $\overline{(x, y)}$  denote the equivalence class of  $(x, y)$ . We also set

$$\mathbb{Q} = X / \equiv = \{\overline{(x, y)} : (x, y) \in X\}.$$

2. Show that for any  $(x, y) \in X$  there is an  $(x', y') \in X$  such that  $\overline{(x, y)} = \overline{(x', y')}$  and  $y' > 0$ .

3. Let  $(x, y), (z, t), (x', y'), (z', y') \in X$ . Assume  $\overline{(x, y)} = \overline{(x', y')}$  and  $\overline{(z, t)} \equiv \overline{(z', t')}$ . Show that

i.  $\overline{(xt \pm yz, yt)} = \overline{(x't' \pm y'z', y't')}$ .

ii.  $\overline{(xz, yt)} = \overline{(x'z', y't')}$ .

iii. If  $z \neq 0$ , then  $z' \neq 0$  and  $\overline{(xt, yz)} = \overline{(x't', y'z')}$ .

4. Explain why we are now entitled to define four operations, that we will call addition, subtraction, multiplication and division (for the division we have to assume that  $z \neq 0$ ), as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{(x, y)} \pm \overline{(z, t)} &= \overline{((xt \pm yz, yt))} \\ \overline{(x, y)} \times \overline{(z, t)} &= \overline{(xz, yt)} \\ \overline{(x, y)} / \overline{(z, t)} &= \overline{(xt, yz)} \end{aligned}$$

5. Find the zero element for the addition and the identity element for the multiplication. Show that each element of  $\mathbb{Q}$  has an additive inverse and that each nonzero element has a multiplicative inverse. Prove the distributivity law.

6. Define a total order on  $\mathbb{Q}$  and state the lemmas (without proving) that one needs to prove in order for your definition to make sense. For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$  define  $\alpha/2$  and prove that for all  $\alpha < \beta$  in  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\alpha < (\alpha + \beta)/2 < \beta$ .