

# Set Theory (Math 111)

## Final

Ali Nesin

January 11, 2004

You may assume that you know all the basic arithmetic properties of  $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \times, 0, 1)$  and  $(\mathbb{N}, +, \times, 0, 1)$ .

1. Let  $X = \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})$ . Define the relation  $\equiv$  on  $X$  by

$$(x, y) \equiv (z, t) \Leftrightarrow xt = yz$$

for every  $(x, y), (z, t) \in X$ .

a) Show that this is an equivalence relation on  $X$ .

b) Find the equivalence classes of  $(0, 1)$  and of  $(3, 3)$ .

c) Show that if  $(x, y) \equiv (x', y')$  and  $(z, t) \equiv (z', t')$  then  $(xt + yz, yt) \equiv (x't' + y'z', y't')$ .

d) Show that if  $(x, y) \equiv (x', y')$  and  $(z, t) \equiv (z', t')$  then  $(xz, yt) \equiv (x'z', y't')$ .

**Proof: a. i. Reflexivity.** Let  $(x, y) \in X$ . Then since  $xy = yx$ , we have  $(x, y) \equiv (x, y)$ .

**ii. Symmetry.** Let  $(x, y), (z, t) \in X$  be such that  $(x, y) \equiv (z, t)$ . Hence  $xt = yz$ . Therefore  $zy = tx$ , implying  $(z, t) \equiv (x, y)$ .

**iii. Transitivity.** Let  $(x, y), (z, t), (u, v) \in X$  be such that  $(x, y) \equiv (z, t)$  and  $(z, t) \equiv (u, v)$ . Hence  $xt = yz$  and  $zv = tu$ . Multiplying these equalities side by side, we get  $xtzv = yztu$ . Since  $t \neq 0$ , by simplifying we get  $xzv = yzu$ . If  $z \neq 0$ , then we can simplify further to get  $xv = yu$ , hence  $(x, y) \equiv (u, v)$ .

Assume  $z = 0$ . Then  $xt = yz = 0$  and  $tu = zv = 0$ . Since  $t \neq 0$ , we get  $x = u = 0$ , so that  $xv = 0 = yu$  and  $(x, y) \equiv (u, v)$  again.

**b.**  $\overline{(0, 1)} := \{(x, y) \in X : (x, y) \equiv (0, 1)\} = \{(x, y) \in X : x = 0\} = \{(0, y) : y \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\}$ .

$\overline{(3, 3)} := \{(x, y) \in X : (x, y) \equiv (3, 3)\} = \{(x, y) \in X : 3x = 3y\} = \{(x, x) : x \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\}$ .

c) Assume  $(x, y) \equiv (x', y')$  and  $(z, t) \equiv (z', t')$ . Then  $xy' = yx'$  and  $zt' = tz'$ . Multiplying the first one by  $tt'$  and the second one by  $yy'$  we get  $xy'tt' = yx'tt'$  and  $zt'yy' = tz'yy'$ . Adding these two side by side we get  $xy'tt' + zt'yy' = yx'tt' + tz'yy'$ , and factoring, we get  $(xt + yz)y't' = yt(x't' + y'z')$ , meaning  $(xt + yz, yt) \equiv (x't' + y'z', y't')$ .

d) Assume  $(x, y) \equiv (x', y')$  and  $(z, t) \equiv (z', t')$ . Then  $xy' = yx'$  and  $zt' = tz'$ . Multiplying these two side by side, we get  $xy'zt' = yx'tz'$ , i.e.  $xzy't' = ytx'z'$ , meaning  $(xz, yt) \equiv (x'z', y't')$ .

2. Find a graph which has only three automorphisms.

**Solution.** Consider the graph whose points are

$$\{a, a', a'', a''', b, b', b'', b''', c, c', c'', c'''\}$$

and whose vertices are

$$aa', aa'', a''a''', bb', bb'', b''b''', cc', cc'', c''c''', ab, bc, ca, a'b'', b'c'', c'a''.$$

It works!

3. Let  $a$  and  $b$  be two integers which are not both 0. We say that  $d$  is the **greatest common divisor** of  $a$  and  $b$  if  $d$  is the largest natural number that divides both  $a$  and  $b$ . Show that for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\gcd(a, b)$  exists and that there are  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $ax + by = \gcd(a, b)$ .

**Proof:** Replacing  $a$  and  $b$  by  $|a|$  and  $|b|$ , we may assume that  $a \geq 0$  and  $b \geq 0$ .

**Existence.** Since 1 divides both  $a$  and  $b$  and since any number that divides both  $a$  and  $b$  can be at most  $\max(a, b) > 0$ , the set of natural numbers that divide both  $a$  and  $b$  is a finite nonempty set bounded by  $\max(a, b)$ . Therefore there is a largest such number. This proves the existence of  $\gcd(a, b)$ . We let  $d = \gcd(a, b)$ .

**Second Part.** We proceed by induction on  $\max(a, b)$ . If  $a = 1$ , then take  $x = 1, y = 0$ . If  $b = 1$ , then take  $x = 0, y = 1$ . This takes care of the initial step  $\max(a, b)$ . Assume  $\max(a, b) > 1$ . If  $a = b$ , then  $d = a$  and we may take  $x = 1, y = 0$ . Assume  $a \neq b$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $a > b$ . Note that the divisors of  $a$  and  $b$  are the same as the divisors of  $a - b$  and  $b$ . Hence  $\gcd(a - b, b) = \gcd(a, b) = d$ . Since  $\max(a - b, b) < a = \max(a, b)$ , by induction there are two integers  $x$  and  $y'$  such that  $x(a - b) + y'b = d$ , i.e.  $xa + (y' - x)b = d$ . Take  $y = y' - x$ .

4. Let  $a$  and  $b$  be two nonzero integers. We say that  $m$  is the **least common multiple** of  $a$  and  $b$  if  $m$  is the least natural number that is divisible by both  $a$  and  $b$ . We let  $m = \text{lcm}(a, b)$ . Show that for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\text{lcm}(a, b)$  exists and that  $ab = \pm \gcd(a, b) \text{lcm}(a, b)$ .

**Proof:** Replacing  $a$  and  $b$  by  $|a|$  and  $|b|$  again, we may assume that  $a > 0$  and  $b > 0$ . Since  $a$  and  $b$  both divide  $ab$ ,  $\text{lcm}(a, b)$  exists.

Let  $d = \text{gcd}(a, b)$  and  $m = \text{lcm}(a, b)$ . Let  $a'$  and  $b$  be such that  $a = da'$  and  $b = db'$ . Then  $ab = d^2 a' b'$ . We need to prove that  $m = da' b'$ .

Since  $da' b' = ab' = a' b$ ,  $a$  and  $b$  both divide  $da' b'$ .

Let  $x$  be divisible by both  $a$  and  $b$ . Then  $x = au = bv$  for some  $u, v$ . We have  $a' du = au = x = bv = b' dv$  and so  $a' u = b' v$ . Since  $a'$  and  $b'$  cannot have a common divisor (otherwise  $d$  would be larger),  $b'$  must divide  $u$ . (This last fact needs a serious proof, that we have not undertaken yet. I shouldn't have asked this question at this stage). Write  $u = cb'$ . Now  $x = au = acb' = a' dcb'$  and so  $a' b' d$  divides  $x$ , in particular  $a' b' d \leq x$ . This shows that  $a' b' d$  is the least multiple of  $a$  and  $b$ , i.e.  $a' b' d = m$ .

5. Find formulas for the sums

$$1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + n^2$$

and

$$1^3 + 2^3 + \dots + n^3,$$

and prove your result.

**Proof:** We claim that

$$1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + n^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}.$$

We proceed by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 1$ , it is easy to check the validity of the formula. Assume the statement holds for  $n$ . To prove it for  $n + 1$ , we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} 1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + n^2 + (n+1)^2 &= \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} + (n+1)^2 \\ &= \frac{(n+1)(n(2n+1)+6(n+1))}{6} \\ &= \frac{(n+1)(2n^2+7n+6)}{6} \\ &= \frac{(n+1)(n+2)(2n+3)}{6} \\ &= \frac{n'(n'+1)(2n'+1)}{6} \end{aligned}$$

where  $n' = n + 1$ . This proves the equality by induction.

We claim that

$$1^3 + 2^3 + \dots + n^3 = \frac{n^2(n+1)^2}{4}.$$

We proceed by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 1$ , it is easy to check the validity of the formula. Assume the statement holds for  $n$ . To prove it for  $n + 1$ , we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} 1^3 + 2^3 + \dots + n^3 + (n+1)^3 &= \frac{n^2(n+1)^2}{4} + (n+1)^3 \\ &= \frac{(n+1)^2(n^2+4n+4)}{4} \\ &= \frac{(n+1)^2(n+2)^2}{4} \\ &= \frac{m^2(m+1)^2}{4} \end{aligned}$$

where  $m = n + 1$ . This proves the equality by induction.

6. Recall that a natural number  $p \neq 0, 1$  is called **prime** if whenever  $p$  divides a product  $xy$  of two natural numbers  $x$  and  $y$  then  $p$  divides either  $x$  or  $y$ . A natural number  $p \neq 0, 1$  is called **irreducible** if whenever  $p = xy$  for two natural numbers  $x$  and  $y$  then either  $x$  or  $y$  is 1. Show that a natural number is prime if and only if it is irreducible.

**Proof:** Let  $p$  be prime. Assume that  $a|p$ . Then  $p = ab$  for some  $b$ . It follows that  $p$  divides  $ab$ . Thus  $p$  divides either  $a$  or  $b$ . Assume – without loss of generality – that  $p$  divides  $a$ . Then  $px = a$  some  $x$ . Hence  $p = ab = pxb$ . Since  $p \neq 0$ , it follows that  $xb = 1$ . Thus  $b = 1$ , and so  $a = p$ .

Let now  $p$  be an irreducible. We will prove that  $p$  is a prime. Let  $p$  divide  $xy$ . We will show that  $p$  divides either  $x$  or  $y$ . We proceed by induction on  $p+x+y$ . Dividing  $x$  and  $y$  by  $p$  we get  $x = pq_1 + x_1$  and  $y = pq_2 + y_1$  where  $x_1, y_1 < p$ . Since  $xy = (pq_1 + x_1)(pq_2 + y_1) = p(pq_1q_2 + q_1y_1 + q_2x_1) + x_1y_1$ , thus  $p$  divides  $x_1y_1$ . Assume  $x_1y_1 \neq 0$ . Thus  $p \leq x_1y_1 < p^2$ . It follows that  $x_1y_1 = rp$  for some  $r = 1, \dots, p-1$ . If  $r = 1$ , then either  $p = x_1$  or  $p = y_1$ , a contradiction. Let  $q$  be an irreducible dividing  $r$ . Thus  $q \leq r < p$ . By induction  $q$  divides either  $x_1$  or  $y_1$ , say  $q$  divides  $x_1$ . Write  $x_1 = qx_2$  and  $r = qr'$ . We have  $qx_2y_1 = x_1y_1 = rp = qr'p$  and  $x_2y_1 = r'p$ . By induction  $p$  divides either  $x_2$  or  $y_1$ , in which case it divides  $x$  or  $y$  (respectively). Thus we may assume that  $x_1y_1 = 0$ . Hence one of  $x_1$  or  $y_1$  is 0, say  $x_1 = 0$ . Then  $x = pq_1 + x_1 = pq_1$  and  $p$  divides  $x$ .  $\square$