## Math 111 Final Exam January 2005 Ali Nesin

**Notes.** Yes or no answers will not be accepted. Proofs and disproofs are necessary. Please make full sentences, with a subject and a verb, at least. Do not use symbols such as  $\exists, \forall, \Rightarrow$ , etc. Write neetly, clearly, understandably etc.

Let *F* be the set of functions from some open interval of  $\mathbb{R}$  containing 0 into  $\mathbb{R}$ . Note that two functions of *f* may have different domains. For *f*,  $g \in F$ , define the relation  $f \equiv g$  by the condition "there is an open interval *I* containg 0 such that f(x) = g(x) for all  $x \in I$ , i.e. if f = g on some open interval containing 0".

**1.** Show that  $\equiv$  is an equivalence relation on *F*. (The equivalence class [f] of *f* is called the **germ** of *f* at 0). (6 pts.)

**Proof: Reflexivity:** If  $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$  is a function from an open interval *I* containing 0 into  $\mathbb{R}$ , then of course f(x) = f(x) for all  $x \in I$ . Therefore  $f \equiv f$ .

**Symmetry:** Let  $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $g : J \to \mathbb{R}$  be two functions. (Here *I* and *J* are two open intervals both containing 0). Assume  $f \equiv g$ . Then f(x) = g(x) for *x* in some open interval *K* containing 0. (Note that *K* must be a subinterval of *I* and *J* because otherwise f(x) and g(x) are not defined). Thus g(x) = f(x) for  $x \in K$ . Therefore  $g \equiv f$ .

**Transitivity:** Let  $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g: J \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $h: K \to \mathbb{R}$  be three functions in *F*. (Here *I*, *J* and *K* are three open intervals all containing 0). Suppose  $f \equiv g$  and  $g \equiv h$ . Thus f(x) = g(x) for all *x* in some open interval *A* containing 0 and g(x) = h(x) for all *x* in some open interval *B* containing 0. (Here  $A \subseteq I \cap J$  and  $B \subseteq J \cap K$ ). Then f(x) = h(x) for all  $x \in A \cap B$ . Since  $A \cap B$  is an open interval containing 0, this proves that  $f \equiv g$ .

2. Show that one is allowed to define addition and multiplication of elements of  $F \models in a$  natural way, namely by the rules

$$[f] + [g] = [f + g] and [f][g] = [fg].$$

(10 pts.)

**Proof:** Note first that if  $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $g: J \to \mathbb{R}$ , then as the domain of the functions f + g and fg we have to take  $I \cap J$ . Then (f + g)(x) and (fg)(x) can be defined as f(x) + g(x) and (fg)(x) = f(x)g(x).

Suppose  $[f] = [f_1]$  and  $[g] = [g_1]$ , i.e. suppose  $f \equiv f_1$  and  $g \equiv g_1$ . Thus there are open intervals *A* and *B* both containing 0 such that  $f(x) = f_1(x)$  for all  $x \in A$  and  $g(x) = g_1(x)$  for all  $x \in B$ . Thus

and

 $(f+f_1)(x) = f(x) + f_1(x) = g(x) + g_1(x) = (g+g_1)(x)$ 

 $(ff_1)(x) = f(x)f_1(x) = g(x)g_1(x) = (gg_1)(x)$ 

for all  $x \in A \cap B$ . Since  $A \cap B$  is an open interval containing 0, this proves that  $f + f_1 \equiv g + g_1$  and  $ff_1 \equiv gg_1$ . Thus  $[f + f_1] = [g + g_1]$  and  $[ff_1] \equiv [gg_1]$ .

**3.** Show that, with the above operations  $F \equiv is$  a ring with identity, i.e., (Prove only A2, M1 and M2.)

A1.  $(\alpha + \beta) + \gamma = \alpha + (\beta + \gamma)$  for all  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in F/\equiv$ . A2. There is an element  $0 \in F/\equiv$  such that  $0 + \alpha = \alpha + 0 = \alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in F/\equiv$ . A3. For all  $\alpha \in F/\equiv$  there is a  $\beta \in F/\equiv$  such that  $\alpha + \beta = \beta + \alpha = 0$ . (Here 0 is as in A2). A4.  $\alpha + \beta = \beta + \alpha$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in F/\equiv$ . M1.  $(\alpha\beta)\gamma = \alpha(\beta\gamma)$  for all  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in F/\equiv$ . M2. There is an element  $1 \in F/\equiv$  such that  $1 \cdot \alpha = \alpha \cdot 1 = \alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in F/\equiv$ . M3.  $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in F/\equiv$ . D.  $\alpha(\beta + \gamma) = \alpha\beta + \alpha\gamma$  for all  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in F/\equiv$ . (12 pts.)

**Proof of A2:** Let  $c_0$  denote the constant zero-function from  $\mathbb{R}$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ . Thus  $c_0(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\mathbb{R}$  is an interval containing 0, the constant zero-function  $c_0$  is an element of *F*. Now we can consider the class  $[c_0] \in F/=$  of the zero-function  $c_0 \in F$ .

Let  $\alpha \in F/\equiv$ . Thus  $\alpha = [f]$  for some function  $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$ . (Here *I* is an open interval containing 0). Let us compute  $[c_0] + [f]$ .

It should be clear that  $c_0 + f \equiv f$  because for any  $x \in I$ ,  $(c_0 + f)(x) = c_0(x) + f(x) = c_0 + f(x) = f(x)$ . Thus  $[c_0] + \alpha = [c_0] + [f] = [c_0 + f] = [f]$ .

Similarly  $\alpha + [c_0] = \alpha$ .

Therefore  $[c_0]$  is the neutral element of  $F \models$  for the addition. We may take the element 0 of  $F \models$  that we were looking to be equal to  $[c_0]$ . (Note that this  $0 \in F \models$  that we have just defined is not the number  $0 \in \mathbb{R}$ ).

**Proof of M1.** Let  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma \in F \neq I$ . Let *f*, *g*,  $h \in F$  be such that  $\alpha = [f]$ ,  $\beta = [g]$ ,  $\gamma = [h]$ . Then  $(\alpha\beta)\gamma = ([f][g])[h] = [fg][h] = [(fg)h] = [f(gh)] = [f][gh] = [f]([g][h]) = \alpha(\beta\gamma)$ .

**Proof of M2:** Let  $c_1$  denote the constant one-function from  $\mathbb{R}$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ . Thus  $c_1(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\mathbb{R}$  is an interval containing 1, the constant one-function  $c_1$  is an element of F. Now we can consider the class  $[c_1] \in F/=$  of the one-function  $c_1 \in F$ .

Let  $\alpha \in F/\equiv$ . Thus  $\alpha = [f]$  for some function  $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$ . (Here *I* is an open interval containing 1). Let us compute  $[c_1][f]$ .

It should be clear that  $c_1 f \equiv f$  because for any  $x \in I$ ,  $(c_1 f)(x) = c_1(x)f(x) = 1$ , f(x) = f(x). Thus  $[c_1]\alpha = [c_1][f] = [c_1 f] = [f]$ .

Similarly  $\alpha[c_1] = \alpha$ .

Therefore  $[c_1]$  is the neutral element of  $F \models$  for the multiplication. We may take the element 1 of  $F \models$  that we were looking to be equal to  $[c_1]$ . (Note that this  $1 \in F \models$  that we have just defined is not the number  $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ ).

**4.** Find the set of invertible elements of the ring 
$$F \models$$
, i.e. find  
 $(F \models)^* = \{ \alpha \in F \models : \alpha\beta = 1 \text{ for some } \beta \in F \models \}.$ 

(6 pts.)

**Solution:** Let  $\alpha \in (F/\equiv)^*$ . Let  $\beta \in F/\equiv$  be such that  $\alpha\beta = 1$ . Recall that, here,  $1 = [c_1]$ . Let *f* and *g* be elements of *F* for which  $\alpha = [f]$  and  $\beta = [g]$ . Then  $[c_1] = 1 = \alpha\beta = [f][g] = [fg]$  and so  $fg \equiv c_1$ . Therefore there is an open interval *I* containing 0 such that  $(fg)(x) = c_1(x)$  for all  $x \in I$ . Computing, we find that f(x)g(x) = 1 for all  $x \in I$ . In particular *f* never assumes the 0 value in an open interval of 0.

Conversely, we will show that if  $f \in F$  never assumes the 0 value in an open interval of 0, then  $[f] \in (F/\equiv)^*$ . Indeed, assume that  $f(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in I$ , where *I* is an open interval

containing 0. Define  $g: I \to \mathbb{R}$  by the rule g(x) = 1/f(x). Then clearly  $(fg)(x) = f(x)g(x) = 1 = c_1(x)$  for all  $x \in I$ . It follows that  $fg \equiv c_1$ . Therefore  $[f][g] = [fg] = [c_1] = 1$  and so  $[f] \in (F/\equiv)^*$ .

We proved that  $(F \models)^* = \{ [f] \in F \models : f \text{ never assumes the value } 0 \text{ in an open interval containing } 0 \}.$ 

**5.** Does the ring  $F \models$  have nonzero zerodivisors, i.e. are there nonzero  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in F \models$  such that  $\alpha\beta = 0$ ? (6 pts.)

**Solution:** Yes. Define  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  by the rule f(x) = x and  $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  by the rule g(x) = 0 if  $x \neq 0$  and g(x) = 1 if x = 1. Then fg is clearly the zero-function  $c_0$ . Hence  $[f][g] = [fg] = [c_0] = 0$ . But  $[f] \neq 0$  and  $[g] \neq 0$  because neither f nor g is equal to the zero-function in an open interval of 0.

**6.** Does the ring  $F \equiv$  have nonzero nilpotent elements, i.e. is there a nonzero  $\alpha \in F \equiv$  such that  $\alpha^n = 0$  for some positive natural number *n*? (6 pts.)

**Solution:** No. Assume  $\alpha \in F/\equiv$  is such that  $\alpha^n = 0$  for some positive natural number *n*. We will show that  $\alpha = 0$ . Recall that, here, 0 means  $[c_0]$ . Let  $f \in F$  be such that  $\alpha = [f]$ . Then  $[c_0] = 0 = \alpha^n = [f]^n = [f^n]$ . (Note that, here,  $f^n$  means  $f \dots f$ , the product of *f* with itself *n* times, i.e.  $(f^n)(x) = f(x)^n$  for all *x* in the domain of *f*). Thus  $f^n \equiv c_0$  and so there is an open interval *I* containing 0 such that  $f^n(x) = c_0(x)$  for all  $x \in I$ . Thus  $f(x)^n = f^n(x) = c_0(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ . Since  $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ , this implies that f(x) = 0 for all  $x \in I$ . Therefore  $f \equiv c_0$  and so  $\alpha = [f] = 0$ .

7. Show that there is an embedding (one-to-one function) of  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times)$  into *F* that respects the addition and multiplication. (12 pts.)

**Proof:** For  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $c_a$  denote the constant function that takes only the value a, i.e. the function  $c_a : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is defined by  $c_a(x) = a$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define the function  $i : \mathbb{R} \to F/\equiv$  by the rule  $i(a) = [c_a]$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . We need to show that i is one to one and that i(a + b) = i(a) + i(b) and i(ab) = i(a)i(b) for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Proof that** *i* is one-to-one. Assume i(a) = i(b). Thus  $[c_a] = [c_b]$ . This means that there is an interval *I* containing 0 such that  $c_a(x) = c_b(x)$  for all  $x \in I$ . Since  $0 \in I$ , we can apply this last equality to x = 0 (or to any element x in *I*), to get  $a = c_a(x) = c_b(x) = b$ .

**Proof that** *i* is additive. We first check that  $c_a + c_b = c_{a+b}$ . Indeed, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

 $(c_a + c_b)(x) = c_a(x) + c_b(x) = a + b = c_{a+b}(x).$ 

This proves the equality  $c_a + c_b = c_{a+b}$ . Hence,

$$i(a + b) = [c_{a+b}] = [c_a + c_b] = [c_a] + [c_b] = i(a) + i(b).$$

**Proof that** *i* is multiplicative. We first check that  $c_a c_b = c_{ab}$ . Indeed, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

 $(c_a c_b)(x) = c_a(x)c_b(x) = ab = c_{ab}(x).$ 

This proves the equality  $c_a c_b = c_{ab}$ . Hence,

 $i(ab) = [c_{ab}] = [c_ac_b] = [c_a][c_b] = i(a)i(b).$ 

**8.** For  $\alpha \in F/\equiv$ , define  $v_0(\alpha) = f(0)$  for some  $f \in \alpha$ . Show that  $v_0$  is a well-defined function from  $F/\equiv$  onto  $\mathbb{R}$ . (12 pts.)

**Proof:** Suppose  $f, g \in \alpha$ . Then  $f \equiv g$ , i.e. there is an open interval I containing 0 such that f(x) = g(x) for all  $x \in I$ . Since  $0 \in I$ , in particular, f(0) = g(0). This shows that  $v_0$  is a well-defined function.

Let us now prove that  $v_0$  is onto. Indeed if  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $v_0(c_y) = c_y(0) = y$ .

**9.** Show that the relation  $\approx$  on  $F \models$  defined by  $\alpha \approx \beta$  if and only if  $v_0(\alpha) = v_0(\beta)$  is an equivalence relation.(14 pts.)

**Proof:** This is a complete triviality: All follows from the definition  $\alpha \approx \beta$  if and only if  $v_0(\alpha) = v_0(\beta)$ .

**10.** Can you put a natural algebraic structure on  $(F/\equiv)/\approx$ ? Can you find its structure? (I.e. does it look like a known algebraic structure?) (16 pts.)

**Solution:** Yes!  $(F/\equiv)/\approx$  looks like  $\mathbb{R}$ . Define the function  $j: (F/\equiv)/\approx \to \mathbb{R}$  by the rule,

 $j([\alpha]) = v_0(\alpha).$ 

This function is well-defined because if  $[\alpha] = [\beta]$  then  $\alpha \approx \beta$  and so  $v_0(\alpha) = v_0(\beta)$  by definition of the relation  $\approx$ .

The function is one-to-one because if  $j([\alpha]) = j([\beta])$ , then  $v_0(\alpha) = v_0(\beta)$  and so  $\alpha \approx \beta$  and  $[\alpha] = [\beta]$ .

The function is onto because for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $j(i(y)) = v_0([c_y]) = c_y(0) = y$ .

Thus there is a "natural" bijection between the set  $(F/\equiv)/\approx$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ . We can define an

"addition" and a "multiplication" to make  $(F \models) \approx \text{look}$  more like  $\mathbb{R}$ . Indeed, define,

$$[\alpha] + [\beta] = [\alpha + \beta]$$

and

$$[\alpha][\beta] = [\alpha\beta]$$

It is easy to check that then *j* respects the addition and multiplication.